## **Topics on PDEs and Numerical Methods**

## **Part 3: Finite Element Method**

Guangming Yao Department of Mathematics Clarkson University

# Three fundamental PDEs and solutions

#### $\blacksquare$  Heat equation (parabolic):  $u_t = u_{xx}$

- **a** Solution:  $u = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + t$
- Challenge: can you find another solution?  $u = e^{ax + bt}$  $\Box$
- Fourier, 1800's  $\Box$
- Heat conduction m.

#### **u** Wave equation (hyperbolic):  $u_{tt} = u_{xx}$

d'Alembert, 1740's, vibration of strings

#### Laplace equation (elliptic):  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$  $\Box$

- Laplace, 1780's,
- gravitation mechanical equilibrium,
- thermal equilibrium





Vibration, [standing waves](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Standing_wave) in a string. The [fundamental](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fundamental_frequency) and the first 5 [overtones](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Overtone) in the harmoni



Laplace's equation on an annulus (inner radius  $r = 2$  and outer radius  $R = 4$ ) with Dirichlet boundary conditions  $u(r=2) = 0$  and  $u(R=4) = 4 \sin(5 \theta)$ 

2

Common but Challenging PDEs

Diffusion equation

 $\nabla \cdot D \nabla C + S = 0$ 

**D** Solid-Mechanics

 $\nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u} \vec{u}^T) = -\nabla P + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho g$ 

**D** Navier-Stokes

$$
\frac{\partial(\rho \vec{u})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) + \nabla P = \mu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \frac{\mu}{3} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) + \rho g
$$

**□** Schrodinger

$$
\nabla \cdot \left[ -\frac{h^2}{2m^*} \nabla \psi(\vec{r}) \right] + U(\vec{r}) \psi(\vec{r}) = E \psi(\vec{r})
$$

- **D** Dynamics Electromagnetic wave equation  $\mu\epsilon\partial^2\vec{E}(\vec{r},t)$  $\frac{1}{\partial t^2}$  + μσ $\partial \vec{E}(\vec{r},t)$  $\frac{d^2U(t,t)}{\partial t} - \nabla^2 \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) = \mu$ д $\vec j(\vec r,t$  $\partial t$
- Boltzmann transport equation  $\partial f$  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$  + **v** ·  $\nabla f$  +  $q\bar{\mathbf{E}}$  $\mathbf h$  $\cdot$   $\nabla_k f =$  $\partial f$  $\partial t$

## How to solve PDEs?

## Analytically:

- Method of characteristic
- Separation of variables
- Fourier analysis---- $sin(x)$ ,  $cos(x)$ , Bessel's function, Legendre, ..
- Eigenfunction expansion
- Problems:
	- cannot deal with complicated geometry
	- May not converge with finite terms
	- Hard to deal with nonlinear

Numerically:

Finite difference method (FDM)

Finite element method (FEM)

Finite volume method

Reduce order method

Combination of analytical and numerical methods

# How to solve PDEs?

- Analytic solutions are possible for simple and special (idealized) cases only.
- To make use of the nature of the equations, different methods are used to solve different classes of PDEs.
- The methods discussed here are based on the finite **element** technique.
- Methods other than FEM: FDM, Spectral Method, FVM, ...
- **Finite Element Method (FEM)**
- How to solve PDEs using FEM?
	- Numerical interpolation: shape functions
	- Domain discretization: mesh
	- Weak and strong forms of PDE
	- Linear or nonlinear system solver

## Summary of FEM Process



## Generalization of FEM

#### Divide geometry into simple elements

**n** Finding polynomial approximation on each element: unknow  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $a_n$ 

**1D**: 
$$
\tilde{C}(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots
$$

■ 2D 
$$
\tilde{C}(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2y + a_3xy + \cdots
$$

**3D:**  $\tilde{C}(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 z + \cdots$ 

#### **O** Continuous across elements

## $\Box$  PDE  $\rightarrow$  System of equations  $\rightarrow$  Solve nodal values

## Elements



## Divide geometry into simple elements

- Elements have nodes
- **Elements include line segments in 1D**
- **Elements include triangular or quadrilateral mesh** in 2D
- **Elements include tetrahedrons or hexahedrons in** 3D





## Elements

 Each element has its own coefficients: One for each node:  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  Construct shape functions, meanwhile get continuous piecewise polynomial between elements:



## Construct shape functions in 1D

□ Construct shape functions by writing solutions in terms of functions' values  $C_1$   $C_2$ Consider single element  $x_1$   $x_2$ 2 nodes in 1D:  $\tilde{C}(x) = a_0 + a_1 x$ Find  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  by solving  $C_1 = \tilde{C}(x_1) = a_0 + a_1 x_1$  $C_2 = \tilde{C}(x_2) = a_0 + a_1 x_2$ Thus,  $\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a \end{bmatrix}$  $a_1$ = 1  $x_1$ 1  $x_2$  $^{-1}$   $\lceil C_1 \rceil$  $C<sub>2</sub>$ = 1  $x_2-x_1$  $x_2$  – $x_1$ −1 1  $C_1$  $C<sub>2</sub>$ . That is:  $\tilde{C}(x) =$  $C_1 x_2 - C_2 x_1$ +  $-C_1 + C_2$  $\chi$ .

$$
\tilde{C}(x) = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2 - x_1} + \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2 - x_1}x.
$$

$$
\tilde{C}(x) = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2 - x_1}C_1 + \frac{-x_1 + x_2}{x_2 - x_1}C_2.
$$

## Construct shape functions in 1D



□ Construct shape functions by writing solutions in terms of functions' values  $C_1$   $C_2$ 

**For a single element**  $x_1$   $x_2$ 

$$
\tilde{C}(x) = \frac{x_2 - x}{x_2 - x_1} C_1 + \frac{-x_1 + x}{x_2 - x_1} C_2.
$$

$$
\tilde{C}(x) = N_1(x)C_1 + N_2(x)C_2.
$$

■ Shape functions—AKA interpolation functions, basis functions for the solution:

$$
N_1(x) = \frac{x_2 - x}{x_2 - x_1}, N_2(x) = \frac{-x_1 + x}{x_2 - x_1}
$$

**Solution is a linear combination of shape functions** 

$$
\tilde{C}(x) = N_1(x)C_1 + N_2(x)C_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{2} N_i C_i = \vec{N} \cdot \vec{C}.
$$

#### Exa 1: Interpolation  $c(x) = sin(x)$ ,  $0 \le x \le 5$



Approximation includes all spatial dependence:  $\tilde{C}(x) = \sum N_i C_i = \vec{N} \cdot \vec{C}$ 

- Depend on coordinates of nodes
- $N_i = 1$  at node i,  $N_i = 0$  at all other nodes
- Zero outside their element

i

## Exa 1: Interpolation--higher order shape functions



## Construct shape functions in 2D

□ Construct shape functions by writing solutions in terms of functions' values Consider single element 3 nodes in 2D:  $\tilde{C}(x, y) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 y$ Find  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  (3 degree of freedom DOF):  $C_1 = \tilde{C}(x_1, y_1) = a_0 + a_1 x_1 + a_2 y_1$  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(x_2, y_2) = a_0 + a_1 x_2 + a_2 y_2$  $C_3 = \tilde{C}(x_3, y_3) = a_0 + a_1x_3 + a_2y_3$  $a_0$  $a_1$  $a_2$ = 1 1  $x_1$  $x_2$ 1  $x_3$  $y_1$  $y_2$  $y_3$  $\overline{C_1}$  $\mathcal{C}_2$  $\mathcal{C}_3$  $=$   $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$  $x_2y_3+x_1y_2+x_3y_1-x_2y_1-x_3y_2-x_1y_3$  $x_2y_3 - x_3y_2$   $x_3y_1 - x_1y_3$  $y_2 - y_3$   $y_3 - y_1$  $x_1y_2 - x_2y_1$  $y_1 - y_2$  $x_3 - x_2$   $x_1 - x_3$   $x_2 - x_1$  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{0}}$  $C<sub>1</sub>$  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . Collect  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , &  $C_3$  to get shape functions: Node  $1(x_1, y_1)$ Node 2  $(x_2, y_2)$ Node 3  $(x_3, y_3)$  $\tilde{C}(x) = N_1(x, y)C_1 + N_2(x, y)C_2 + N_3(x, y)C_3 =$ j  $N_j C_j = \vec{N} \cdot \vec{C}$  .

## Summary of FEM Process





Exa 2: Boundary Condition



 $\nabla \cdot D \nabla C - S = 0$  on  $\Omega$ 



Exa 2: Galerkin Finite-Element Method Galerkin:  $w(\vec{x}) = N_i$  for all *i* (all basis functions) Recall interpolation when given nodal values  $\vec{c}$ :  $\int \nabla N_i \cdot k$ j  $C_j \nabla N_j + N_i S d\Omega = 0 \quad \left| \tilde{C}(x) = \right.$  $\int k$ j  $\nabla N_i \cdot \nabla N_j \ d\Omega \ \mathcal{C}_j = - \int N_i S d\Omega$ In Matrix Form  $[\kappa]$   $\vec{c}$   $\vec{s}$  $\int |\nabla w \cdot \mathbf{k}| \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{C}} + wS d\Omega = 0.$ j  $N_j C_j = \vec{N} \cdot \vec{C}$  $\nabla \tilde{C} = \vec{C} \cdot \nabla \vec{N} = \sum_j C_j \nabla N_j$ 

K $\vec{C} = \vec{S}$ 

 Calculate integral as sum of integral of each element:  $\int d\Omega = \sum \int d\Omega_{element}$ 

## Exa 2: Steady state heat eqn -- summary





Exa 3: 1D diffusion  $x_1 = 0$   $x_2 = 0.5$   $x_3 = 0.75$   $x_4 = 1$ 

#### **□ Solve ODE:**  $\nabla \cdot 2\nabla C = x^2, 0 \le x \le 1$  $C(0) = C(1) = 0.$

#### Galerkin linear shape function gives

$$
\int 2 \sum_j \nabla N_i \cdot \nabla N_j \, d\Omega \, C_j = - \int N_i x^2 d\Omega
$$



 $\sum_j (\int 2 \nabla N_2 \cdot \nabla N_j \ d\Omega_1) C_j = -\int N_2 x^2 d\Omega_1 - \int N_2 x^2 d\Omega_2$  $\sum_j (\int 2 \nabla N_1 \cdot \nabla N_j d\Omega_1) C_j = -\int N_1 x^2 d\Omega_1$  $\sum_j (\int 2 \nabla N_3 \cdot \nabla N_j d\Omega_1) C_j = -\int N_3 x^2 d\Omega_2 - \int N_3 x^2 d\Omega_3$  $\sum_j (\int 2\,\overline{V}N_4\cdot \overline{V}N_j\;d\Omega_1)\; C_j = -\int N_4x^2d\Omega_3$ 

 $\Box$ 

 $\int 2 \nabla N_1 \cdot \nabla N_1 d\Omega_1$   $C_1 + (\int 2 \nabla N_1 \cdot \nabla N_2 d\Omega_2)$   $C_2 = -\int N_1 x^2 d\Omega_1$  $\int 2 \nabla N_2 \cdot \nabla N_1 d\Omega_1 C_1 + (\int 2 \nabla N_2 \cdot \nabla N_2 d\Omega_2) C_2 + (\int 2 \nabla N_2 \cdot \nabla N_3 d\Omega_3) C_3 = -\int N_2 x^2 d\Omega_1 - \int N_2 x^2 d\Omega_2$  $\int 2 \nabla N_3 \cdot \nabla N_2 d\Omega_2$   $C_2 + (\int 2 \nabla N_3 \cdot \nabla N_3 d\Omega_3)C_3 + (\int 2 \nabla N_3 \cdot \nabla N_4 d\Omega_4)C_4 = -\int N_3 x^2 d\Omega_2 - \int N_3 x^2 d\Omega_3$  $\int 2 \nabla N_4 \cdot \nabla N_3 d\Omega_3 C_3 + (\int 2 \nabla N_4 \cdot \nabla N_4 d\Omega_4) C_4 = -\int N_4 x^2 d\Omega_3$ 

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -4 & 0 & 0 \ -4 & 12 & -8 & 0 \ 0 & -8 & 16 & -8 \ 0 & 0 & -8 & 8 \ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \ C_2 \ C_3 \ C_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0104 \ 0.0742 \ 0.1432 \ 0.1055 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \ C_2 \ C_3 \ C_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \ 0.01823 \ 0.01806 \ 0.01806 \end{bmatrix}
$$

#### Exa 4: Heat conduction equation  $\partial \rho \mathcal{C} T(\vec{r},t)$  $\partial t$  $-\nabla \cdot k \nabla T(\vec{r},t) = f(\vec{r},t)$  $\Box$  Weak form:  $\int_\Omega w$  $\frac{\partial \rho c \tilde{T}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot k \nabla \tilde{T}(\vec{r},t) = f(\vec{r},t) d\Omega$  $\blacksquare$  Galerkin FEM:  $\int_\Omega\,N_i(\vec{r})$  $\frac{\partial \rho c \tilde{T}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot k \; \nabla \tilde{T}(\vec{r},t) = f(\vec{r},t) \Big) d\Omega$  $\vert$  $\Omega$  $N_i(\vec{r})$  $\partial \rho \mathcal{C} \tilde{T}(\vec{r},t)$  $\frac{\partial^2 f(t, t)}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot k \nabla \tilde{T}(\vec{r}, t) = f(\vec{r}, t) \int d\Omega$  $\bigwedge N_i(\vec{r})$  $\Omega$  $\partial \rho \mathcal{C} \tilde{T}$  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} d\Omega - \oint_{\Omega}$  $\widehat{n}_{\Omega} \cdot N_i(\vec{r}) k \nabla \widetilde{\mathrm{T}} \, d\Omega + \int \nabla N_i(\vec{r}) \cdot k \nabla \widetilde{\mathrm{T}} d\Omega = \left[ N_i(\vec{r}) f(\vec{r}, t) d\Omega \right]$  $\Omega$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\Omega$  $N_i(\vec{r})$  $\partial \rho \mathcal{C} \tilde{T}$  $\int \overline{\partial t} d\Omega + \int$ Ω  $\nabla N_i(\vec{r}) \cdot k \nabla \widetilde{T} d\Omega =$ Ω  $N_i(\vec{r}) f(\vec{r}, t) d\Omega + \phi$ Ω  $\widehat{n}_{\Omega} \cdot N_i(\vec{r}) k \nabla \, \widetilde{\mathrm{T}} \; d\Omega$  .

**Assume**  $\tilde{T}(\vec{r}, t) = \sum_j N_j T_j(t)$ , we have the following system of ODEs:

$$
\sum_{j} \frac{\partial T_j(t)}{\partial t} \rho C \int_{\Omega} N_i N_j d\Omega + \sum_{j} T_j(t) \int_{\Omega} \nabla N_i \cdot k \nabla N_j d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} N_i f(\vec{r}, t) d\Omega + \oint_{\Omega} \hat{n}_{\Omega} \cdot N_i k \sum_{j} \nabla N_j T_j(t) d\Omega.
$$

#### Exa 4: Heat conduction equation  $\partial \rho \mathcal{C} T(\vec{r},t)$  $\partial t$  $-\nabla \cdot k \nabla T(\vec{r},t) = f(\vec{r},t)$

- $\Box$  Weak form:  $\int_\Omega w$  $\frac{\partial \rho c \tilde{T}(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot k \nabla \tilde{T}(\vec{r},t) = f(\vec{r},t) d\Omega$
- **Assume**  $\tilde{T}(\vec{r}, t) = \sum_j N_j T_j(t)$ , we have the following system of ODEs:



$$
\sum_{j} c_{ij} \frac{dT_j}{dt} + \sum_{j} g_{ij} T_j = b_i
$$

System of ODEs can be solved by any ODE solver, such as explicit finite difference, implicit finite difference, Backwards differentiation formula (BDF) method, Generalized alpha method, Different Runge-Kutta methods.

## What to know about FEM

- Solution is a linear combination of shape functions
	- **Mesh needs to match application**
	- **More elements improves accuracy**
	- **Higher order improves accuracy**
- Solving system of equations takes most time  $\blacksquare$  More DOF = more work
- Solution shouldn't depend on mesh
	- **Mesh isn't real**  $\rightarrow$  **try multiple meshes**
	- User judgement  $\rightarrow$  doe results make sense?

FEM is generally used for spatial discretization

#### Reference:

**Andrew Prudil, Lecture Notes, Cybertraining** Workshop at Clarkson University.

Good read:

■ [Comprehensive Introduction to Physics, PDEs,](https://www.comsol.com/multiphysics/introduction-to-physics-pdes-and-numerical-modeling) and Numerical Modeling (comsol.com)